

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/535,348

Applicant(s)

SUN ET AL.

Examiner

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1626

All Participants:Status of Application: 71(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Joseph R. Snyder {Reg. No. 39,381}

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 March 2004Time: 11:55am**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

58

Prior art documents discussed:

Oldfield et al. {Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, (1965), 8(2), pages 239-249}

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon updating the search of the invention being claimed per the amendment filed February 27, 2004, the Examiner found the Oldfield et al. reference. The Examiner faxed a copy of the Oldfield reference to Mr. Synder. The Examiner called Mr. Synder to discuss the Oldfield reference. It was agreed that claim 58, and claims which depended on claim 58, would be cancelled. Mr. Synder authorized the Examiner to make new claim 79 which would be directed to the single species, BADDD.

3/1/04